

Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and
RASIER, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE CITY OF SEATTLE; SEATTLE
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES; and FRED
PODESTA, in his official capacity as Director,
Finance and Administrative Services, City of
Seattle,

Defendants.

No. 17-cv-00370-RSL

**STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND
MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

NOTED ON CALENDAR: March 5, 2019

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS
AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE (17-cv-00370)

PETER S. HOLMES
Seattle City Attorney
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 684-8200

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Pursuant to Local Rules 7(d)(1) and 10(g), Plaintiffs and Defendants ("Seattle") submit this stipulated motion to extend the deadlines set forth in the parties' January 15, 2019 Joint Status Report. For the reasons set forth herein, the parties stipulate and agree that the time for Seattle to file its Rule 56(d) motion shall be extended by two weeks, to March 22, 2019, and the opposition and reply deadlines will likewise be extended by two weeks.

1. This case, on remand from the Ninth Circuit, involves a facial challenge to a Seattle ordinance authorizing for-hire drivers to engage in collective negotiations over the terms of their contracts with ride-referral and similar companies (known under the ordinance as "driver coordinators"). Plaintiffs allege that the ordinance violates and is preempted by federal antitrust law.

2. On October 26, 2018, the parties submitted a Joint Status Report and Discovery plan agreeing to defer discovery pending the Court's resolution of a motion for discovery under Rule 56(d) to be filed by Seattle after Plaintiffs moved for summary judgment, and agreeing to a scheduling for the filing and briefing of such motions. The parties further agreed to suspend the filing and briefing of such motions while the Seattle City Council considered an amendment to the challenged ordinance.

3. On January 11, 2019, the Mayor signed into law an amendment to the ordinance.

4. On January 15, 2019, the parties submitted a Joint Status Report providing that Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment would be filed by February 15, 2019; Seattle's Rule 56(d) motion would be filed by March 8, 2019; Plaintiffs' opposition to the Rule 56(d) motion would be filed by March 29, 2019; and Seattle's reply in support of the Rule 56(d) motion would be filed by April 12, 2019. The parties' proposed schedule provided that, if Seattle chose not to file a Rule 56(d) motion, its opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment would be due by March 29, 2019.

5. Plaintiffs filed their motion for summary judgment on February 15, 2019.

6. Under the existing briefing schedule, Seattle's Rule 56(d) motion is due on March 8, 2019.

7. Seattle requires additional time to prepare its Rule 56(d) motion. The additional time is necessary because Seattle's counsel has a number of other commitments during the time frame in

1 which its motion must be researched and drafted. These commitments were not apparent to counsel
2 for Seattle when the parties agreed to the proposed schedule in January, but make it impossible for
3 Seattle to prepare its Rule 56(d) motion within the allotted time.

4 8. No party will be prejudiced by the proposed extension.

5 9. Accordingly, the parties stipulate to the proposed revised schedule for briefing and
6 filing of motions:

7 Seattle's Rule 56(d) Motion: March 22, 2019

8 Plaintiffs' Opposition to Rule 56(d) Motion: April 12, 2019

9 Seattle's Reply in Support of Rule 56(d) Motion: April 26, 2019.

10
11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12 DATED this 5th day of March, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

13 PETER S. HOLMES
14 Seattle City Attorney

15 By: /s/Stacey M. Leyton

WSBA #53757

16 Stephen P. Berzon (pro hac vice)

17 Stacey M. Leyton (pro hac vice)

18 P. Casey Pitts (pro hac vice)

Altshuler Berzon LLP

177 Post Street, Suite 300

19 San Francisco, CA 94108

Phone: (415) 421-7151

20 Fax: (415) 362-8064

21 E-mail: sberzon@altber.com

E-mail: slepton@altber.com

22 E-mail: cpitts@altber.com

23 Gregory C. Narver, WSBA #18127

24 Sara O'Connor-Kriss, WSBA #41569

Assistant City Attorneys

Seattle City Attorney's Office

25 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050

26 Seattle, WA 98104

Phone: (206) 684-8233 — Gregory C. Narver

27 Phone: (206) 615-0788 — Sara O'Connor-Kriss

Fax: (206) 684-8284
E-mail: gregory.narver@seattle.gov
E-mail: sara.oconnor-kriess@seattle.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

By: s/ Christian G. Vergonis

Michael A. Carvin
(D.C. Bar No. 366784)

(pro hac vice)
Christian G. Vergonis
(D.C. Bar No. 483293)

(pro hac vice)
Jacqueline M. Holmes
(D.C. Bar No. 450357)

(pro hac vice)
Robert Stander
(D.C. Bar No. 1028454)

(pro hac vice)
JONES DAY
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 879-3939
(202) 616-1700 FAX
mcarvin@jonesday.com

Timothy J. O'Connell, WSBA 15372
STOEL RIVES LLP
600 University Street, Suite 3600
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 624-0900
(206) 386-7500 FAX
Tim.oconnell@stoel.com

Steven P. Lehotsky
(D.C. Bar No. 992725)
(pro hac vice)
U.S. CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER
1615 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20062
(202) 463-3187
slehotsky@uschamber.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Chamber of Commerce of the
United States of America*

1 By: s/ Robert J. Maguire
2 Robert J. Maguire, WSBA 29909
3 Douglas C. Ross, WSBA 12811
4 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE
5 Suite 3300
6 920 Fifth Avenue
7 Seattle, WA 98104-1610
8 (206) 622-3150
9 (206) 757-7700 FAX
10 robmaguire@dwt.com

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Rasier, LLC*
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 8th day of March, 2019.



The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik
Senior United States District Judge